

9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,902	07/25/2003	Roger Moons	AD6883USNA	3469
23906 F. I.D.I. PONT	7590 09/27/2007 DE NEMOURS AND COI	I EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATE	ENT RECORDS CENTER	WI AIVI	BECKER, DREW E	
BARLEY MIL 4417 LANCAS	LL PLAZA 25/1128 STER PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		1761	
	•			
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,902	MOONS, ROGER	
Examiner	Art Unit	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ... (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the ODP rejection over 11/438,518. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. A The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9.

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13.
Other: ____

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the WO 01/34702A2 did not provide a thermal conductivity of 1.0 W/mK. However, WO 01/34702A2 taught a carbon fibers and carbon black of up to 10% and applicant does not claim a minimum amount of filler. In addition, page 4, line 5 of applicant's specification states that "Typically the high thermal conductivity filler is about 5 toabout 65% by weight of the composition." Therefore, the material of WO 01/34702A2 inherently provided the desired properties. Applicant argues that Nakamichi did not teach a sufficient amount of filler. However, Nakamichi teaches an amount of inorganic filler, such as carbon black and carbon fiber (column 3, line 53), in the amount of 10-90% of the glass fiber (column 3, line 67), with the glass fiber making up 20-70% of the composition (column 4, line 1). Clearly, 90% of 70% is 63%. Therefore, Nakamichi teaches the use of 63% carbon fiber or carbon black. Nakamichi also teaches a minimum inorganic filler content of 40% (column 4, line 18). In addition, page 4, line 5 of applicant's specification states that "Typically the high thermal conductivity filler is about 5 to about 65% by weight of the composition." Applicant argues that Nakamichi included a "laundry list" of inorganic fillers. However, Nakamichi only listed 14 suggested choices with two of them being carbon black and carbon fibers. The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/11/07 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of application 11/438,518 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. Applicant argues that Nakamichi et al only taught 2-25% filler and that 25% "may be enough to give the required thermal conductivity" and that Nakamichi et al.

DREW BECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER